[DRAFT] <u>Data Sheet E – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

| Date of Review/Reco | <u>mmendation</u> : | December 6, 2010 | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---|--------------|
| | | | | |
| Water Body Under Ev | aluation: | | | |
| Water Body II | O #: 3632 | | | |
| Name: | Hulo | Huldy Hollow (Class C) | | |
| Length of Seg | | | | |
| County (ies): | Texa | Texas | | |
| Use Attainability Ana | lvsis (UAA) | Study: | | |
| UAA ID#: | • • • | 1268 | | |
| Submitter: | MEC | MEC/ERC | | |
| Date(s) Condu | cted: Octo | October 5, 2008 | | |
| Date Received | l: Octo | October 24, 2008 | | |
| Use Evaluated | : Who | Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBCR) | | |
| UAA Criteria Evaluat | | -Non-Remedial, Hum -Hydrologic Modifica -Natural Physical Fea | Intermittent or Low-Floan Caused Condition. ations. | |
| Use Determination & | Recommend | ation: | | |
| | | ovember 28, 1975? | ☐ Yes ☐ N | Vo 🛛 Unknown |
| Comments: The UAA survey was conducted in October 2008 and no evidence of WBCR was observed. ATV tracks were observed at sites 2 and 3. No interviews were conducted. | | | | |
| Is the evaluate | d use attaina | hle? | ☐ Yes ⊠ N | Jo |
| Comments: The stream did not meet the maximum or median depth criteria. These findings are consistent with the 2005 UAA. Because the stream did not meet the depth criteria and there is no evidence of existing use, the committee recommends that the WBCR use designation be removed. Due to evidence of secondary contact recreation (SCR), the committee recommends that the SCR use be assigned. | | | | |
| Committee Recommendation: | | ☐ Add Use ☐ Modify Use | Retain Use Inconclusive | Remove Use |
| Committee Members: | Ann | e Peery, Mike Kruse, | Becky Cripe | |
| | | | | |

